

Dear Sirs

Subject: Objection to Main Modifications MM410 (SES29) and MM411 (SES30) –
Sheffield Local Plan

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to Main Modifications MM410 (SES29) and MM411 (SES30) to the Sheffield Local Plan on the grounds that they are not sound, particularly in terms of being neither effective nor justified.

The proposed allocations fail to demonstrate that local infrastructure can adequately support the scale of development proposed. In my experience, local GP services are already massively overstretched and underfunded, affecting both existing demand and the needs of the current population. There is no additional funding available to build new practices especially as the Waverley site practice has been built but is part of the Rotherham area and not Sheffield. Parking and access to our local practices is already poor and the practices themselves with a potential of 5-7 thousand more patients will completely overwhelm them. I find your response of "solutions being worked out later", ill thought out and inconsiderate to the needs of the existing population.

As Chair of Governors at a local school, I am directly aware that all primary schools within our trust are either full or operating at or near capacity, with little ability to expand due to funding constraints. There is no realistic capacity within local education infrastructure to absorb additional demand from further development. 2 of the local schools are due to have rebuilds over coming years, but neither include an increase in capacity.

Traffic conditions are already severely congested, with clear adverse impacts on air quality, safety, and the local environment. The addition of approximately 2,000 new homes—and the potential of generating significant numbers of additional vehicles—would exacerbate these issues significantly, undermining environmental and safety objectives. There has been no demonstration of how safety and suitable access will be addressed. No consideration has been given to the already high volumes of traffic particularly on Beaver Hill Road and the flooding which occurs on a regular basis,

Local green spaces are extremely important for mental and physical health, yet there is insufficient assurance that they will be protected or enhanced in the context of this level of growth. the compensatory improvements are not suitable for the loss of this greenbelt land.

Finally, there is no clear or credible plan demonstrating how the required infrastructure improvements will be delivered in advance of, or alongside, the proposed development. This fundamentally undermines the soundness of MM410 and MM411.

I therefore request that MM410 (SES29) and MM411 (SES30) are reconsidered, removed from the plan and that the greenbelt land remains as it is.

.

Yours faithfully

Mrs Allison Edgeler