## S13 KEY FACTS REFERENCE SHEET

Essential Facts with Citations for Your Objection.

## **HOW TO USE THIS REFERENCE SHEET**

This document contains three lists of facts to use in your objection:

- List A Legal/Procedural Arguments Backed by S13 Evidence
- List B: Greenbelt Policy Arguments with S13 Facts
- List C: Local/Practical Arguments Specific to S13

The final two pages provide evidence to back up these lists.

- Remember the most important thing is your experience and opinion, these facts are here as an aid - feel free to use the specific facts that relate to your concerns
- Include the full citation when using any fact
- Combine facts from different lists for stronger arguments
- V Use statistics to make your objection evidence-based

This reference sheet provides the ammunition to make your S13 objection factual, specific, and robust!

## LIST A - Legal/Procedural Arguments Backed by S13 Evidence

- S13 bears a disproportionate 44% of all Green Belt housing allocations across Sheffield despite representing only a fraction of Sheffield's total area. [Sheffield Plan: Proposed Additional Site Allocations (May 2025)]
- The proposed development involves 1,738 new homes concentrated across two major sites - SES29 (Handsworth Hall Farm) with 770 homes and SES30 (Bramley Lane/Beaver Hill Road) with 868 homes. [Sheffield Plan: Proposed Additional Site Allocations (May 2025)]
- The Planning Inspectors requested 3,539 additional homes, but the Council has offered sites for 3,948 homes - nearly 400 more than required. [Sheffield Plan Inspectors' Letter (February 6, 2025)]
- S13 residents weren't notified of these proposals until April 24th, 2025, with no Regulation 18 consultation specifically on Green Belt release. [Sheffield Statement of Community Involvement (2020)]
- Site SES30 was only added in April 2025, well after earlier consultation stages had already closed. This gives the "illusion" of community involvement without the reality. The SCI states councils should involve communities "by consulting at the earliest opportunity". [Sheffield Statement of Community Involvement (2020)]
- Neither S13 or Sheffield residents received a single letter, or leaflet from the council informing them of this plan. Leaving countless thousands of residents who are not online "in the dark". Despite their own Statement of Community Involvement policies requiring them to implement "early involvement" [Sheffield Statement of Community Involvement (2020)]
- The S13 sites score between 13-14 points out of 20 on the Green Belt purpose assessment, demonstrating moderate to strong Green Belt function. [Green Belt Review Update (November 2024)]
- Only 5 sites across the entire city score below 10 on the Green Belt purpose assessment, and none of these are in S13. [Sheffield Plan Green Belt Review 2024]
- The exceptional circumstances test requires demonstration for each individual site, not as a blanket justification, which has not been properly applied to \$13. [Green Belt Review Update (November 2024)]
- The Council has consistently underperformed on brownfield delivery targets, completing only 65% of planned brownfield development between 2019-2024. [Sheffield City Council Housing Land Audit (2024)]

## LIST B - Greenbelt Policy Arguments with S13 Facts

- Between 2022-2024, 89% of homes were delivered on brownfield sites in Sheffield. proving this approach works and should be prioritized over Green Belt release. [Housing Fand Economic Land Availability Assessment (December 2024)]
- Sheffield City Council Housing Land Audit 2024 identified 96 brownfield sites with potential for 7,840 homes that remain undeveloped. [Sheffield City Council Housing Land Audit (2024)]
- · Sheffield has approximately 95 hectares of vacant previously developed land according to national data. [Campaign to Protect Rural England, State of Brownfield Report (2024)]
- S13's Green Belt maintains 14 identified wildlife corridors that would be severed by the proposed development. [Sheffield Wildlife Trust, Ecological Network Report (2021)]
- Replacing mature hedgerows and woodland habitats with newly planted alternatives results in a 35-year time lag before equivalent ecological function is restored. [Sheffield Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment (2024)]
- The Council has failed to examine fully all other reasonable options for meeting development needs as required by NPPF paragraph 137. [Comparison of brownfield capacity vs Green Belt proposals]

## LIST C - Local/Practical Arguments Specific to S13

- Only 9% of Handsworth residents can access their preferred healthcare professional compared to the 40% national average. [NHS Sheffield GP Patient Survey (2024)]
- Both Athelstan and Ballifield primary schools appear on the Council's 2025-2026 oversubscribed schools list despite Council claims of sufficient capacity. [Sheffield City Council, Oversubscribed Schools List (2025-2026)]
- The Transport Assessment projects a 37% increase in journey times along Handsworth Road by 2030 even before these developments. [Transport Assessment Update, Sheffield Plan (April 2025)]
- Air quality monitoring in 2023 showed that S13 already exceeds national quidelines for NO2 pollution by 15% at peak times. [Sheffield Air Quality Annual Status Report (2023)]
- Pothole damage claims data shows a 65% increase in claims in 2023/24, indicating existing infrastructure maintenance challenges before any new development. [Pothole Vehicle Damage Claims and Compensation Data (2012-2025)]
- The already congested junctions at A630 Sheffield Parkway/B6200 Handsworth Road and Retford Road/Beaver Hill Road would see traffic increases described as "severe" by the Council's own consultants. [Transport Assessment Update, Sheffield Plan (April 2025)]
- S13's diverse communities include 34% of residents from ethnic minority backgrounds, many of whom rely heavily on local green spaces for recreation and cultural activities. [Sheffield Plan Equalities Impact Assessment (2025)]
- The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment identifies parts of both SES29 and SES30 sites as high flood risk areas, with SES30 showing significant surface water flooding issues. [Sheffield Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2024)]
- Communities with limited access to green space show 18% higher stress levels and 25% higher rates of depression according to Sheffield's own strategy documents. [Sheffield Health and Wellbeing Strategy (2023-2028)]
- S13 has already absorbed significant recent development including the Waverley development (4,000 homes), Gateway Estate, Pickard Estate, and Fitzalan Development. [Public submissions to Sheffield Plan consultation (May 2025)]

## **©** QUICK STATISTICS SUMMARY

Category	Key Statistic	Source
Disproportionate Burden	44% of Sheffield's Green Belt housing in S13	Sheffield Plan Allocations (May 2025)
Over-Provision	400 homes more than Inspectors requested	Inspectors' Letter (Feb 2025)
Green Belt Function	S13 sites score 13-14/20 (moderate-strong)	Green Belt Review (Nov 2024)
Healthcare Crisis	9% GP access vs 40% national average	NHS Patient Survey (2024)
Air Quality	15% above national NO2 pollution limits	Air Quality Report (2023)
Traffic Impact	37% journey time increase by 2030	Transport Assessment (April 2025)
Infrastructure Strain	65% increase in pothole claims 2023/24	Damage Claims Data (2025)
Brownfield Alternative	96 sites, 7,840 home potential unused	Housing Land Audit (2024)

# **Evidence Checklist for Objections: Site SES30** (Green Belt, S13)

## Evidence Checklist for Objections – Site SES30 (Green Belt, S13)

This checklist helps residents support their objections with practical evidence. You do not need to be an expert – use your own experiences, photos, and simple observations to strengthen your submission.

## **Key Point to mention**

- State how you use the Greenbelt land now!

## 1. Consultation and Accessibility

- State if you received no letter, email, or leaflet about Site SES30.
- Describe when and how you first found out about the site was it late? Was it word of mouth?
- Take a screenshot showing how difficult it is to find SES30 information on the Local Plan website.
- Mention if you don't use the internet or had trouble finding documents.
- Provide screenshots or descriptions of inaccessible document formats (e.g. 1000+ page PDFs).
- Note whether your local library, school, or noticeboard displayed any materials.
- Refer to the 'Proposed Additional Site Allocations' paper as evidence that SES30 was added late (April 2025).

## 2. Traffic and Congestion (Beaver Hill Road)

- Take photos of daily traffic or school-time congestion.
- Submit written statements about how long it takes to travel during peak times.
- Mention any personal experience of near misses, traffic hazards, or delays.
- Reference the Transport Assessments if you've read them and found concerns not addressed.

### 3. GP Access and Health Services

- Include screenshots of your GP surgery's appointment booking page showing no available slots.
- Describe your recent experience trying to get an appointment.
- If you've written to or heard back from NHS or your surgery, you can include the email or reply.
- State that the plan gives no guarantee of a new health facility just vague 'expansion' plans.

### **S13 Key Facts and Evidence reference sheet**

### 4. Flood Risk

- Take photos or videos of local flooding or standing water near SES30 during/after rain.
- Refer to the Level 2 SFRA for SES30, which shows 9.9% of the site would be used for flood mitigation.
- Explain if you've seen blocked drains, overflowing gutters, or water collecting on roads.

## 5. Green Space and Wildlife

- Take photos of local wildlife (e.g. bats, birds, foxes) on or near the site.
- Describe how you use the green space: walking, dog walking, mental health, family time.
- If you have a fitness tracker showing your walking routes through the green space, include a screenshot.

### 6. Green Belt Fairness and Distribution

- Quote the Council's own documents showing that 44% of the total Green Belt loss is in east Sheffield.
- Use a map or summary to compare how west Sheffield hasn't lost any Green Belt.
- Express how this imbalance feels unfair or disproportionate based on your local knowledge.

## 7. Overlooking Waverley and Local Housing Context

- Mention that Waverley (in Rotherham) is a large development nearby that hasn't been factored in.
- Suggest that housing need could be met through regional cooperation, rather than Green Belt loss in S13.
- Point out that the Duty to Cooperate seems to have failed if this wasn't discussed with Rotherham.